



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,783	12/29/2000	Ravi Subramanian	9824-037-999	8764

24341 7590 06/03/2004

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP.  
3300 HILLVIEW AVENUE  
PALO ALTO, CA 94304

EXAMINER
----------

PHU, PHUONG M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2631

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/751,783

Applicant(s)

SUBRAMANIAN, RAVI

Examiner

Phuong Phu

Art Unit

2631

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 April 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 28-31 and 58-63 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 28-31 and 58-63 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Election filed on 4/19/04. Accordingly, claims 28-31 and 58-63 have been selected.

#### ***Specification***

2. Information must be filled in blanks on line 36 of page 10 and line 2 of page 17.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01.

Claim 28 omits functional/structural/connectional interrelationships of “configurable digital coherent demodulator system” with other elements, claimed in the claim (e.g., a chip-matched filter, an A/D converter, and/or an RF/IF stage) for making the claimed receiver as a complete operative and connective system.

Claim 28 omits functional/structural/connectional interrelationships of “feed forward correction” with other elements, claimed in the claim (e.g., a configurable digital coherent demodulator system, a chip-matched filter, an A/D converter, and/or an RF/IF stage) for making the claimed receiver as a complete operative and connective system.

Claim 29 omits functional/structural/connectional interrelationships of each of “plurality of configurable digital coherent demodulators” with other elements, claimed in claims 28 and 29 (e.g., a configurable digital coherent demodulator system, a chip-matched filter, an A/D

Art Unit: 2631

converter, and/or an RF/IF stage) for making the claimed receiver as a complete operative and connective system.

Claim 30 omits functional/structural/connectional interrelationships of “configurable parameter estimator” with other elements, claimed in claims 28 and 30 (e.g., a configurable digital coherent demodulator system, a chip-matched filter, an A/D converter, and/or an RF/IF stage) for making the claimed receiver as a complete operative and connective system.

Claim 31 omits functional/structural/connectional interrelationships of “configurable correction device” with other elements, claimed in claims 28 and 31 (e.g., a configurable digital coherent demodulator system, a chip-matched filter, an A/D converter, and/or an RF/IF stage) for making the claimed receiver as a complete operative and connective system.

5. Claims 58-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01.

Claim 58 omits functional interrelationships of step “having a feed forward phase correction signal” with other steps, claimed in the claim (e.g., steps of receiving, converting, filtering and/or processing) for making the claimed method as a complete operative method.

Claim 60 omits functional interrelationships of step (h) “communicating a demodulated output data sample ... each of a plurality of multipath channels” with other steps (a-g), claimed in the claims 58-60 for making the claimed method as a complete operative method.

Claim 61 omits functional interrelationships of step (e) “feeding forward a digital phase correction signal... multipath data signals” with other steps (a-d), claimed in the claims 58-61 for making the claimed method as a complete operative method.

Art Unit: 2631

Claim 62 omits functional interrelationships of step (d2) "generating... phase estimator" with other steps (a-d, d1), claimed in the claims 58-62 for making the claimed method as a complete operative method.

Claim 63 omits functional interrelationships of step (e) "correcting... correction device" with other steps (a-d), claimed in the claims 58-63 for making the claimed method as a complete operative method.

Claims, (if any) as being depended on above claims, are therefore also rejected.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 28 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Critchlow (5,276,706).

As per claims 28 and 58, see figure 1 and col. 5, line 17 to col. 8, line 58, Critchlow discloses a method and associated system comprising:

step/means (16, 18) of receiving an analog signal at an RF/IF stage;

step/means (18) of converting the analog signal to digital signal (20, 22) using an A/D converter (18);

step/means (24) of filtering the digital signal using a matched filter to obtain a complex channel signal (32, 34); and

Art Unit: 2631

step/means (30, 44, 46) of processing the complex channel signal using a demodulator having a correction signal (48).

8. Claims 28 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Davidovici (5,802,102).

As per claims 28 and 58, see figures 1 and 2, and col. 7, line 33 to col. 10, line 20, Davidovici discloses a method and associated system (see figure 1) comprising:

step/means (31-34) of receiving an analog signal at an RF/IF stage;

step/means (33,34) of converting the analog signal to digital signal using an A/D converter (33,34);

step/means (35,37) of filtering the digital signal using a matched filter (35, 37) to obtain a complex channel signal; and

step/means (41, 46, 38, 39) of processing the complex channel signal outputted from means (35, 37) using a demodulator having correction signals outputted from means (46).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 28, 58-61 and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al (6,219,374), in view of Critchlow.

As per claims 28 and 58, see figure 3, and col. 4, line 10 to col. 7, line 3, Kim et al discloses a method and associated system comprising:

Art Unit: 2631

step/means (301) of receiving an analog signal at an RF/IF stage;

step/means (303,304) of filtering said analog signal using a matched filter (303, 304) to obtain a complex channel signal outputted from said matched filter; and

step/means (305-318) of processing the complex channel signal outputted from means (303,304) using a demodulator having correction signals outputted from means (317, 318).

Kim et al does not disclose step/means of converting the analog signal to a digital signal for further being filtered by said matched filter in step/means (303,304). However, Kim et al does not disclose whether said matched filter is a digital matched filter or how said matched filter is implemented. Critchlow teaches that a matched filter (24) can be implemented as a digital matched filter receiving digital input signal(s) and filtering said digital input signal(s) (see figure 1). Therefore, for an application, it would have been obvious that one skilled in the art, when building Kim et al invention, could implement the matched filter (303, 304) as a digital matched filter, as taught by Critchlow. In such implementation of Kim et al in view of Critchlow, step/means of converting the analog signal, obtained from the RF/IF stage, to a digital signal must be needed prior to step/means (303,304) for providing said digital signal for further being filtered by said matched filter in step/means (303,304).

As per claim 59, Kim et al discloses step/means (305, 306) of demodulating a user code sequence ( $C_k(n)$ ) from the complex channel signal to produce a code demodulated sample; and step/means (311, 312) of communicating the code demodulated sample to a plurality of demodulators (313, 314).

As per claim 60, Kim et al discloses step/means (313, 314) of demodulating a traffic code sequence ( $W^I(n)$ ,  $W^Q(n)$ ) from the code demodulated sample; and step/means (315, 316, 317,

Art Unit: 2631

318) of communicating a demodulated output data sample to a plurality of pilot assisted correction devices (307-310) for each of a plurality of channels of (I, Q).

As per claim 61, Kim et al discloses step/means (317, 318) of feeding forward a phase correction signal (Channel Estimate Values) from each of a plurality of demodulators to respectively correct a phase error in each of a plurality of data signals.

As per claim 63, Kim et al discloses step/means (307-310) of correcting a traffic channel via the correction signals using a correction device (307-310).

### ***Conclusion***

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong Phu whose telephone number is 703-308-0158. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-6:00) First Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 703-306-3034. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuong Phu  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2631



Application/Control Number: 09/751,783

Page 8

Art Unit: 2631

Phuong Phu

05/05/04

*Phuong Phu*

**PHOUNG PHU  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**